

Special Committee on the Utilization of Information Technology in Government Meeting Minutes

DAY/DATE: Friday, November 12, 2015 TIME: 9:00 AM to 12:00 Noon

LOCATION: 208 Hurricane Lane, Williston VT – AHS Training Room

MEMBERS: Mike Schirling, John Burton, and Tim Kenney STAFF: Sue Zeller, Catherine Benham, Steve Klein

Donna Reback and Joy Livingston – Flint Springs Assoc.

PURPOSE: Regular Meeting

Item Number	Item Description	Action By
1.1	Call to Order	Chair
2.0	Review and amend Agenda, if necessary	Committee
3.0	Approve prior meeting minutes for 10/15/2015	Committee
4.0	 9:00 AM – Steve Matera – Secretary of State's Office Discussion of working relations with DII around IT decision making and roles/responsibilities Committee raised questions about use of cloud options and Discussion of successful decision making process used in Secretary of State's office to develop/fund IT projects Factors used to ensure success and changes needed include leadership, competent staff, empowering management Length of cycle from conception to implementation Back-up plans to avoid risk 	
5.0	 9:30 AM – 10:15 AM Senator Jane Kitchel and Representative Mitzi Johnson – Chair of the Senate and House Appropriation Committees, respectively Explained language in the budget bill and rationale for establishing this Committee Legislature needs guidance around appropriation of funds to procure IT, clear information about immediate costs, longer terms costs, sustainability of IT projects Seeking clarity about questions and information needed to make IT funding decisions - looking for examples/models of decision making Concern that money being spent on IT is serving Vermonters well Discussion of DII's relationships with legislature and with state agencies around providing needed guidance and oversight Discussion of whether to restructure legislative committees in order to designate IT responsibility to a separate 	

State of Vermont

Agency of Administration

Office of the Secretary [phone] 802-828-3322 Pavilion Office Building [fax] 802-828-3320

_		
	 Discussed usefulness/need for criteria to help legislative decisions Reviewed examples/elements of well-articulated requests for IT funding - Judicial Case Management system, 	
	Defender General Case Management system and Tax Dept projects cited	
5.5	Break	
6.0	10:30 AM – 11:00 AM Matt Riven (CFO), Jeff Loewer (CIO) and Patty Grable (State Court Administrator), Court Administrators Office	
	 Description of IT needs and requests for IT funds for Judiciary are routed Judiciary runs its own IT 	
	 Discussion of working relationship with DII - types of support and services DII provides 	
	 Costs for DII services, what services are provided 	
	 Description of their method for evaluating type of IT system and products to use - custom vs off-the shelf and criteria applied 	
	Described the elements of the "business case" they	
	presented to legislature to fund the case management system	
	Discussed suggestions for funding mechanisms for IT	
	 Use of special allocations, capital funds, general 	
	funds	
	 Look to other states for best practices in this arena 	
7.0	Public Comments - Jen Mincar, owner of IT project	
	management company - has managed 6-7 small projects for state departments	
	Provided observations on procurement and project	
	management	
	Discussed role and efficacy of DII in terms of oversight,	
	project management, procurement, interactions with staff, portfolio management	
	Individual IT project management happens within state	
	departments	
	 Need for training project managers 	
	Description of overall state contracting/procurement	
	process as confusing, time consuming, overly complicated	
8.0	11:00 AM – Hal Cohen, Secretary of AHS and Stephanie Beck,	
	on new Integrated Eligibility System Project, accompanied by Dean Mudgett, Sarah Clark (CIO), John Stern (Deputy CIO),	
	Darren Prail, Densie Naggleschmidt, Ken Schaatz (DCF	
	Commissioner), Shawn Brown	
	Discussion of challenges and costs facing AHS in moving	
	from 30 year old legacy system to more efficient IT system	
	Discussion of Integrated Eligibility (IE) project in terms of:	
	o Costs	
	o multiple contracts	

	o federal/state dollars available (90%/10%)	
	o federal requirements around use of federal \$\$ to	
	build IT system	
	o number of programs being brought under IE	
	o needed oversight	
	o use of off the shelf system vs a module system	
	o independent verification and validation (IVV)	
	Discussion of AHS's communication of project, needs, This relationship to the legislature.	
	rationale for costs, accuracy of costs to the legislature	
	 ABC form updated in independent DII review Intangibles - how are these/are these described 	
	 Intangibles - how are these/are these described anywhere 	
	Discussion of how to improve system for dialoguing with	
	and requesting IT funding from the legislature around these	
	large projects	
	Discussion of whether roles of DII (innovation and	
	implementation) should be combined or kept separate	
	Discussion of procurement and contracting processes, and	
	impact of timeframe on costs	
	Discussion of outsourcing IT	
	Discussion of risk doing business with state or federal	
	government and impact on costs	
	Discuss number of apps for AHS business operations	
	Discussion of pros/cons of centralized compared to	
	decentralized systems	
9.0	New Business	
10.0	Adjourn meeting	Chair

Submitted By: Donna Reback and Joy Livingston, Flint Springs Associates